

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUNITED STATES P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,921	01/26/2004	David B. Volkin	19907YIACB	9882	
210	7590 08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
MERCK AND CO., INC P O BOX 2000 RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			WEHBE, ANNE MARIE SABRINA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1633		
			DATE MAILED: 08/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/764,92	:1	VOLKIN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Anne Mari	e S. Wehbe	1633				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ☑ Applicat i 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-40 are subject to restriction and/or is/are subject to restriction and/or is/are subject to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rawn from con or election req iner. ccepted or b) he drawing(s) b ection is require	uirement. objected to by the leading abeyance. See the seed of the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) be of References Cited (PTO-892) be of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 or No(s)/Mail Date	08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 2-26, drawn to pharmaceutical formulations comprising an aluminum phosphate-based adjuvant and a polynucleotide vaccine encoding an antigen and methods of using said formulations, classified in class 514, subclass 44.
- II. Claims 27-40, drawn to pharmaceutical formulations comprising an calcium phosphate-based adjuvant and a polynucleotide vaccine encoding an antigen and methods of using said formulations, classified in class 514, subclass 44.

Claim 1 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 1. Upon the indication of allowability of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be rejoined and fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104 Claims that require all the limitations of an allowable linking claim will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory

Page 3

double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are directed to related products and methods. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the compositions of invention I comprise an aluminum phosphate adjuvant which is materially different in chemical and structural properties. As such, since the inventions are not obvious variants and are not capable of use together, the inventions are mutually exclusive. Further, a search for aluminum phosphate adjuvants does not overlap with a search for calcium phosphate adjuvants. Therefore, the search for each invention is not coextensive and it would place an undue burden on the examiner to search and examine both inventions together.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, and different search requirements, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of antigens associated with the following diseases/disorders:

Application/Control Number: 10/764,921 Page 4

Art Unit: 1633

a) HIV

b) HSV

- c) human influenza
- d) hepatitis
- e) HPV
- f) tuberculosis
- g) tumors
- h) autoimmune disease
- i) allergy
- j) rabies
- k) distemper
- l) foot and mouth disease
- m) anthrax.

The species are independent or distinct because the antigens associated with each of these diseases/disorders are unrelated in structural and functional properties. Further, each disease/disorder is substantially different in etiology and symptoms. As such, the search for each species is not coextensive with the others such that it would place an undue burden on the examiner to search and examine all species together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-7, 11-27, and 30-40 are generic.

Art Unit: 1633

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species and invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention and species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Anne Marie S. Wehbé, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0737. If the examiner is not available, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen, can be reached at (571) 272-0731. For all official communications, **the new technology center fax number is (571) 273-8300**. Please note that all official communications and responses sent by fax must be directed to the technology center fax number. For informal, non-official communications only, the examiner's direct fax number is (571) 273-0737. For any inquiry of a general nature, please call (571) 272-0547.

The applicant can also consult the USPTO's Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) on the internet for patent application status and history information, and for electronic images of applications. For questions or problems related to PAIR, please call the USPTO Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) toll free at 1-866-217-9197. Representatives are available daily from 6am to midnight (EST). When calling please have your application serial number or patent number available. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO call center (UCC) at 1-800-786-9199.

ANNE M. WEHBE' PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Dr. A.M.S. Wehbé